

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group I – Fig. 1-7, 9, and 11-15

Group II – Fig. 8-8b

Group III – Fig. 10a-10b

Group IV – Fig. 16-17

Group V – Fig. 18-23

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Group I – 1-3, 5-6, and 11-18

Group II – 4 and 29

Group III – 9-10

Group IV – 7-8 and 19-21

Group V – 22-28

There are no generic claims in this application.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- The special technical feature of Group I, but is lacking in Group II-V, is a pair of frangible membranes formed in the rim with at least one finger disposed between the frangible membranes.
- The special technical feature of Group II, but is lacking in Group I and III-V, is a pair of fingers that is disposed on either side of a frangible membrane.
- The special technical feature of Group III, but is lacking in Group I-II and IV-V, is the membrane hinge that extends across the base between the frangible membranes.
- The special technical feature of Group IV, but is lacking in Group I-III and V, is a chamfer that extends into the rim from the lower edge.
- The special technical feature of Group V, but is lacking in Group I-IV, is a split tab portion that makes it possible to detach half of the tab.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madison L. Wright whose telephone number is 571-270-7427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 4137

/M. L. W./
Examiner, Art Unit 4137